Email: biovetinnovator@gmail.com Official Website: *https://biovetinnovator.in/*
Fueling The Future of Science… ISSN: 3048-8397

Bio Vet Innovator Magazine

Volume 2 (Issue 7) JULY 2025

OPEN ACCESS POPULAR ARTICLE

Happy Cow Better Milk: How Welfare Practices Affect Dairy Production

Dr. Brijpal Singh[1*], Dr. Anshu Kumar[1], Dr. Harsh D. Patel[2], Dr. Priyanka Kumari[2], and Dr. M. M. Islam[3]

[1]M.V.Sc. Scholar, Department of Livestock Production Management, College of Veterinary Science & A. H., Kamdhenu University, Anand, Gujarat, India
[2]M.V.Sc. Scholar, Department of Veterinary Extension Education, College of Veterinary Science & A. H., Kamdhenu University, Anand, Gujarat, India
[3]Associate Research Scientist and Head, Pashupalan Sanshodhan Kendra, VASREU, Kamdhenu University, Ramna Muvada, Gujarat, India
***Corresponding Author:** brijpalbhati@gmail.com
DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.16163093

Received: July 15, 2025
Published: July 16, 2025

Abstract:

Dairy cow welfare directly affects milk output, sustainability, and quality. Healthier cows and greater yields are a result of ethical care, good diet, cozy housing, and stress management. Better welfare measures have been linked to higher productivity, better milk composition, and higher farm profitability, according to research. Evidence suggests that ethical farming practices not only benefit cow health but also boost farm profitability and consumer trust. The paper underscores the importance of adopting science-backed welfare standards to ensure sustainable dairy production. Ultimately, prioritizing cow well-being aligns with economic, ethical, and nutritional goals in the dairy industry. The study draws on case comparisons, farm audits, and production data to quantify outcomes linked to welfare-focused farming. Results indicate a positive correlation between ethical treatment and both productivity and sustainability. Challenges such as cost and scalability are addressed through policy suggestions and industry innovation.

Key Words: Happy Cow, Welfare, Milk Production, Care, Ethical treatment

Introduction:

A vital component of world agriculture, dairy farming produces milk, cheese, yogurt, and other necessities. But the industry is coming under more and more fire for its treatment of animals. Studies show that enhanced welfare practices result in higher-quality milk and increased farm efficiency, and consumers and regulators require that dairy cows be treated ethically (Von Keyserlingk *et al.*,2013). Stress-free, well-cared-for cow yield more and better milk, according to the "Happy Cow, Better Milk" theory. These improvements are not only ethically sound but also lead to measurable benefits in milk yield, composition, and farm productivity. The impact of welfare practices on dairy output is examined in this article, including appropriate housing, nutrition,

health management, and humane handling. Welfare is a state of complete mental and physical health, where the animal is in harmony with its environment (Hughes, 1976).

The Link Between Cow Welfare and Milk Production

Dairy cows under stress produce less milk and lower-quality products. Stressors include poor housing, inadequate nutrition, disease, and rough handling (Rushen *et al.,* 2007). Cortisol, a stress hormone, can reduce milk yield and alter milk composition (Mormede *et al.,* 2007).

➢ Comfortable Housing and Resting Conditions

Cows spend up to 14 hours per day lying down, making comfortable resting areas essential. Poor bedding or overcrowding leads to:

- Lameness and hoof disorders (Whay *et al.,* 2003): Lameness in dairy cows, often caused by hoof lesions, leads to pain, reduced mobility, and decreased productivity.
- Reduced rumination and feed efficiency (Haley *et al.,* 2000): Impaired rumination due to stress or illness lowers nutrient absorption, increasing feed costs and reducing overall health.
- Lower milk production: Health issues like lameness or metabolic disorders directly reduce milk yield, impacting farm profitability and animal welfare.

➢ Best practices for housing:

- Soft, dry bedding (sand or rubber mats) reduces injuries: Comfortable, well-cushioned bedding helps prevent hoof lesions, joint stress, and pressure sores, reducing lameness risks. Sand offers excellent support and drainage, while rubber mats provide durability and ease of cleaning, both enhancing cow comfort and longevity.
- Adequate space (at least one stall per cow) prevents competition: Overcrowding leads to aggressive behaviour and stress, as cows compete for resting areas, feed, or water. Ensuring one stall per cow allows for proper rest, reduces injuries, and supports natural lying behaviour, improving overall herd health and productivity.
- Proper ventilation minimizes heat stress, which can increase milk yield by 10-25%: Heat stress reduces feed intake, rumination efficiency, and milk synthesis in dairy cows. Effective ventilation, combined with shade and cooling systems, maintains a comfortable barn environment, preserving milk production and cow well-being during hot weather.

➢ Nutrition and Feed Management

A balanced diet directly affects milk yield and cow health. Key factors include:

- High-quality forage (alfalfa, clover) improves rumen function: Nutrient-rich forages support healthy microbial activity in the rumen, enhancing digestion and nutrient absorption. This leads to better feed efficiency and higher milk production.
- Protein and energy balance prevents metabolic disorders like ketosis: Proper dietary ratios of

protein and energy ensure cows meet their metabolic demands, reducing risks of ketosis, fatty liver, and other metabolic diseases.

- Access to clean water (cows drink 30-50 gallons per day): Adequate water intake is essential for digestion, milk synthesis, and thermoregulation. Restricted or poor-quality water reduces feed intake and milk yield.

Effects of poor nutrition:

- Lower milk fat and protein content (Eastridge, 2006): Poor nutrition disrupts rumen fermentation, decreasing milk fat and protein levels. This lowers milk quality and market value.
- Increased risk of mastitis (a costly udder infection): Nutritional deficiencies weaken the immune system, making cows more susceptible to infections like mastitis, which reduces milk yield and increases treatment costs.

Health Management and Disease Prevention

Healthy cows produce more milk. Key welfare-related health issues include:

➢ Mastitis and Udder Health

- Cause: Bacterial infections from dirty bedding or milking equipment.
- Impact: Reduces milk yield by 10-20% and increases somatic cell count (SCC), lowering milk quality (Ruegg, 2017).
- Prevention:
  - ✓ Proper milking hygiene (teat dipping, clean equipment)
  - ✓ Regular SCC testing

➢ Lameness and Hoof Care

- Cause: Wet floors, poor trimming, or long-standing times.
- Impact: Lame cows produce 15-20% less milk (Bicalho *et al.,* 2007).
- Prevention:
  - ✓ Regular hoof trimming
  - ✓ Soft flooring in barns

Behavioural and Psychological Welfare

Cows are social, intelligent animals with strong herd instincts. Stress from unnatural conditions reduces productivity.

➢ Social Stress and Group Dynamics

- Overcrowding increases aggression and reduces feed access: Limited space leads to competition, stress, and fighting among cows, disrupting herd hierarchy. Restricted access to feed bunks also lowers dry matter intake, reducing milk production and overall health.
- Isolation (e.g., separating calves too early) causes distress (Flower & Weary, 2003): Early

separation triggers vocalizations and anxiety in both calves and dams, impairing welfare. This stress can weaken immune function and negatively impact growth and future productivity.

➢ Human-Animal Interaction

- Gentle handling reduces fear and cortisol levels (Hemsworth *et al.,* 2000): Calm and patient interactions with cow's lower stress hormones like cortisol, promoting better welfare and productivity. Trust-building handling also improves ease of movement and cooperation during milking or veterinary procedures.
- Negative interactions (shouting, hitting) decrease milk let-down: Aggressive behaviour induces stress, inhibiting oxytocin release and disrupting the milk ejection reflex. This leads to incomplete milking, lower yields, and potential udder health issues over time.

Economic and Market Benefits of Improved Welfare

While some farmers worry about costs, better welfare leads to:

- Higher milk yields (5-15% increase) (Bach *et al.,* 2020): Reduced stress and improved cow comfort enhance metabolic efficiency, directly boosting milk production. Healthier, happier cows also have better feed conversion rates, maximizing output.
- Premium pricing for welfare-certified milk (Clark *et al.,* 2016): Ethically conscious consumers pay more for milk from high-welfare farms, creating a profitable niche market. Certifications like "pasture-raised" or "humane" strengthen brand value and customer loyalty.
- Lower vet costs due to fewer illnesses: Proactive welfare measures (e.g., clean housing, balanced nutrition) reduce disease incidence, cutting treatment expenses. Fewer metabolic disorders and infections also mean less antibiotic use and lower long-term healthcare costs.

Case Study: Organic and pasture-based farms often report:

- Higher milk fat content (due to natural grazing): Pasture-based diets rich in fresh forage enhance rumen health and fatty acid profiles, naturally increasing milk fat levels and improving milk quality.

Stronger consumer trust and brand loyalty: Ethical and transparent farming practices resonate with health-conscious buyers, fostering long-term trust and willingness to pay premium prices for organic, pasture-raised dairy products.

Conclusion:

The evidence is clear: happy cows produce better milk. By prioritizing welfare—through comfortable housing, proper nutrition, health care, and humane handling—farmers can enhance milk quality, increase yields, and meet consumer expectations. Sustainable and ethical dairy farming is not just a moral obligation but also a smart business strategy. Ethical treatment of cows not only aligns with animal rights but also boosts farm profitability and consumer confidence. Scientific evidence supports that healthier, happier cows produce

superior milk with beneficial compositional traits. As demand for ethically sourced dairy grows, adopting higher welfare standards becomes essential for long-term industry success. Policymakers, farmers, and consumers must collaborate to prioritize animal well-being, ensuring a more humane and productive future for dairy farming.

References:

Bach, A., Terré, M., & Vidal, M. (2020). The effects of cow welfare improvements on dairy productivity. *Journal of Dairy Science, 103*(3), 2598–2606. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2019-17245

Bicalho, R. C., Vokey, F., Erb, H. N., & Guard, C. L. (2007). Visual locomotion scoring in the first seventy days in milk: Impact on pregnancy and survival. *Journal of Dairy Science, 90*(10), 4586–4591. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2007-0297

Clark, B., Stewart, G. B., Panzone, L. A., & Kyriazakis, I. (2016). A systematic review of public attitudes to animal welfare. *Food Policy, 59*, 126–134. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodpol.2015.12.008

Eastridge, M. L. (2006). Major advances in applied dairy cattle nutrition. *Journal of Dairy Science, 89*(4), 1311–1323. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(06)72199-3

Flower, F. C., & Weary, D. M. (2003). The effects of early separation on the dairy cow and calf. *Animal Welfare, 12*(3), 339–348. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0962728600025847

Haley, D. B., Rushen, J., & Passillé, A. D. (2000). Behavioural indicators of cow comfort: Activity and resting behaviour of dairy cows in two types of housing. *Canadian Journal of Animal Science, 80*(2), 257–263. https://doi.org/10.4141/A99-084

Hemsworth, P. H., Coleman, G. J., Barnett, J. L., & Borg, S. (2000). Relationships between human-animal interactions and productivity of commercial dairy cows. *Journal of Animal Science, 78*(11), 2821–2831. https://doi.org/10.2527/2000.78112821

Hughes, B. O. (1976). Behaviour as an index of welfare [Poultry]. *Proceedings of the 5th European Poultry Conference*, Malta, 1005–1018.

Mormède, P., Andanson, S., Aupérin, B., Beerda, B., Guémené, D., Malmkvist, J., ... & Veissier, I. (2007). Exploration of the hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal function as a tool to evaluate animal welfare. *Physiology & Behavior, 92*(3), 317–339. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physbeh.2006.12.003

Ruegg, P. L. (2017). A 100-Year Review: Mastitis detection, management, and prevention. *Journal of Dairy Science, 100*(12), 10381–10397. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2017-13023

Rushen, J., Haley, D., & De Passillé, A. M. (2007). Effect of softer flooring in tie stalls on resting behavior and leg injuries of lactating cows. *Journal of Dairy Science, 90*(8), 3647–3651. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2006-463

Von Keyserlingk, M. A. G., Rushen, J., de Passillé, A. M., & Weary, D. M. (2009). The welfare of dairy cattle—Key concepts and the role of science. *Journal of Dairy Science, 92*(9), 4101–4111. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2009-2326

Whay, H. R., Main, D. C. J., Green, L. E., & Webster, A. J. F. (2003). Assessment of the welfare of dairy cattle using animal-based measurements: Direct observations and investigation of farm records. *Veterinary Record, 153*(7), 197–202. https://doi.org/10.1136/vr.153.7.197