Bio Vet Innovator Magazine
(Fueling The Future of Science...)
Volume 3 (Issue 6) JUNE 2026
World Environment Day – 05th June 2026
Review Article

Artificial Intelligence and Environmental DNA (eDNA): Transforming Wildlife Conservation and Biodiversity Monitoring in the Twenty-First Century

Navjeet Kaur
Veterinary Pharmacologist and Toxicologist
GADVASU, Punjab, India.
*Corresponding Author: Navjeet221999@gmail.com
DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20710247

Received: June 06, 2026
Published: June 12, 2026

Abstract:

Global biodiversity is declining at an unprecedented rate due to habitat degradation, climate change, pollution, overexploitation, invasive species, and emerging diseases. Effective conservation strategies require accurate, timely, and large-scale biodiversity monitoring systems capable of detecting ecological changes before irreversible damage occurs. Traditional wildlife monitoring techniques, including direct observations, camera trapping, capture-mark-recapture studies, and field surveys, have contributed substantially to ecological research; however, they are often resource-intensive, time-consuming, and limited in spatial and temporal coverage. Recent technological advancements have introduced Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Environmental DNA (eDNA) as transformative tools for conservation science. AI facilitates the automated analysis of large ecological datasets generated through camera traps, acoustic sensors, drones, and satellite imagery, while eDNA enables non-invasive species detection through genetic material recovered from environmental samples such as water, soil, air, and sediments. The convergence of these technologies has created unprecedented opportunities for biodiversity assessment, wildlife management, habitat monitoring, and conservation planning. This review explores the evolution, applications, advantages, and limitations of AI and eDNA in wildlife conservation. Furthermore, it examines the synergistic integration of these technologies and discusses future directions for their implementation in global biodiversity monitoring frameworks. The adoption of AI-assisted eDNA approaches has the potential to revolutionize conservation practices and support evidence-based environmental decision-making in the Anthropocene.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Environmental DNA, Biodiversity Monitoring, Wildlife Conservation, Machine Learning, Conservation Genomics, Ecological Monitoring, Environmental Genomics, One Health, Biodiversity Assessment.

1. Introduction:

Biodiversity constitutes the foundation of ecosystem functioning and resilience, supporting ecological processes that sustain life on Earth. Healthy ecosystems provide critical services, including nutrient cycling, pollination, climate regulation, water purification, and disease regulation. Despite its importance, global biodiversity is experiencing unprecedented decline due to anthropogenic activities such as habitat destruction, climate change, pollution, invasive species introductions, and unsustainable exploitation of natural resources (IPBES, 2019).

The Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services (IPBES) estimates that approximately one million species are currently threatened with extinction, many within the coming decades unless effective conservation interventions are implemented (IPBES, 2019). Wildlife populations have similarly experienced dramatic reductions, with the Living Planet Report documenting substantial declines in vertebrate populations worldwide (WWF, 2022). These alarming trends underscore the urgent need for innovative monitoring systems capable of providing rapid and reliable information on species distribution, abundance, and ecosystem health.

Historically, wildlife monitoring has relied on traditional methodologies such as direct observations, line transect surveys, capture-mark-recapture studies, radio telemetry, and camera trapping. Although these techniques have generated invaluable ecological knowledge, they often require significant financial investment, skilled personnel, and extensive field effort (Kays et al., 2015). Furthermore, many species remain difficult to detect because of cryptic behavior, low population densities, inaccessible habitats, or nocturnal activity patterns.

The rapid advancement of digital technologies has created new opportunities for addressing these limitations. Artificial Intelligence (AI), particularly machine learning and deep learning algorithms, has emerged as a powerful tool for analyzing large ecological datasets and automating species identification, behavioral classification, habitat mapping, and conservation decision-making (Christin et al., 2019; Tuia et al., 2022). Simultaneously, Environmental DNA (eDNA) has revolutionized biodiversity assessment by enabling the detection of organisms through traces of genetic material present in environmental samples, eliminating the need for direct observation or capture (Deiner et al., 2017).

Together, AI and eDNA represent a paradigm shift in conservation science. Their integration enables comprehensive ecosystem surveillance, enhanced species detection, and real-time biodiversity monitoring at unprecedented spatial and temporal scales. As conservation challenges become increasingly complex, the adoption of these technologies may prove critical for achieving global biodiversity targets and supporting sustainable ecosystem management.

This review examines the scientific foundations, current applications, and future potential of AI and eDNA technologies in wildlife conservation and biodiversity monitoring, highlighting their transformative role in addressing contemporary environmental challenges.

2. Literature Review:

2.1 Evolution of Wildlife Monitoring Approaches:

Wildlife monitoring has undergone substantial transformation over the past century. Early ecological studies relied predominantly on direct observation and specimen collection. While these approaches provided valuable baseline information, they were inherently limited by observer bias, accessibility constraints, and temporal restrictions.

The introduction of radio telemetry during the mid-twentieth century marked a major advancement in wildlife research, allowing scientists to track animal movements and behavior across broader spatial scales (Kays et al., 2015). Subsequent developments in Geographic Information Systems (GIS), satellite remote sensing, and camera-trap technologies further enhanced ecological monitoring capabilities.

Camera traps, in particular, revolutionized wildlife studies by providing continuous, non-invasive monitoring of animal populations. These devices have facilitated the study of elusive species and generated extensive datasets documenting species presence, abundance, and behavior. However, modern camera-trap networks often produce millions of images annually, creating substantial challenges for manual data processing (Norouzzadeh et al., 2018).

Similarly, advances in acoustic monitoring have enabled continuous surveillance of vocal species such as birds, bats, amphibians, and marine mammals. Yet, the vast volume of audio recordings generated through passive acoustic monitoring necessitates automated analytical solutions capable of efficiently extracting ecological information.

The increasing complexity of ecological datasets has therefore driven the adoption of computational approaches capable of processing large quantities of information rapidly and accurately.

2.2 Emergence of Artificial Intelligence in Ecology:

Artificial Intelligence refers to computational systems capable of performing tasks traditionally associated with human intelligence, including learning, pattern recognition, classification, and prediction. The application of AI in ecology has expanded significantly over the last decade due to advances in computing power, cloud infrastructure, and algorithm development (Tuia et al., 2022).

Machine learning algorithms learn from data to identify patterns and make predictions without explicit programming. Deep learning, a subset of machine learning, utilizes artificial neural networks capable of processing highly complex datasets such as images, videos, and acoustic recordings (LeCun et al., 2015).

Conservation scientists increasingly employ AI to automate species identification from camera-trap images, analyze acoustic datasets, model species distributions, detect habitat degradation, and predict ecological responses to environmental change (Christin et al., 2019). These technologies have substantially improved analytical efficiency and enabled conservation programs to operate at scales previously unattainable through conventional methods.

The integration of AI with remote sensing platforms has further expanded conservation capabilities. High-resolution satellite imagery combined with machine learning algorithms allows for real-time monitoring of deforestation, habitat fragmentation, land-use change, and ecosystem degradation (Wegmann et al., 2016).

Consequently, AI is increasingly recognized as a cornerstone of next-generation biodiversity monitoring systems.

2.3 Development of Environmental DNA Technologies:

Environmental DNA emerged as one of the most influential innovations in modern molecular ecology. Unlike traditional monitoring approaches that depend on direct observation, eDNA enables species detection through genetic material released into the environment through skin cells, scales, mucus, saliva, feces, urine, gametes, and decomposing tissues (Taberlet et al., 2018).

The first applications of eDNA focused primarily on aquatic ecosystems, where researchers successfully detected invasive amphibians and fish species from water samples. Since then, advances in molecular techniques and high-throughput sequencing technologies have dramatically expanded the scope of eDNA applications (Bohmann et al., 2014).

Environmental DNA analysis typically involves sample collection, DNA extraction, amplification using polymerase chain reaction (PCR), sequencing, and taxonomic identification through reference databases. The introduction of metabarcoding techniques has enabled simultaneous detection of multiple species from a single environmental sample, providing comprehensive assessments of community composition (Deiner et al., 2017).

Recent developments have extended eDNA applications beyond aquatic systems to terrestrial and aerial environments. Researchers have successfully recovered vertebrate DNA from soil samples, forest air, cave sediments, and even snow, demonstrating the versatility of this approach for biodiversity monitoring across diverse ecosystems (Clare et al., 2022).

The growing accessibility of next-generation sequencing technologies has accelerated the adoption of eDNA in conservation programs worldwide, establishing it as a critical tool for species detection, ecological assessment, and environmental management.

2.4 The Need for Integrated Conservation Technologies:

Although AI and eDNA have independently transformed biodiversity monitoring, their integration offers even greater potential for conservation science. Environmental DNA studies generate enormous quantities of sequencing data requiring sophisticated computational analysis, while AI excels at extracting meaningful patterns from complex datasets (Cordier et al., 2019).

Machine learning algorithms can improve taxonomic classification, identify ecological trends, and predict biodiversity responses to environmental change. The combination of AI-driven analytics with eDNA-derived biodiversity information therefore represents a powerful framework for ecosystem monitoring and conservation decision-making.

As biodiversity loss continues to accelerate, integrated technologies capable of providing rapid, accurate, and scalable ecological assessments will become increasingly important. AI-assisted eDNA approaches may ultimately form the foundation of future global biodiversity surveillance networks, supporting conservation efforts from local ecosystems to planetary scales.

3. Applications of Artificial Intelligence in Wildlife Conservation:

Artificial Intelligence has emerged as one of the most transformative technologies in contemporary conservation science. By enabling the automated analysis of massive ecological datasets, AI has significantly improved the efficiency, accuracy, and scalability of biodiversity monitoring programs. Conservation organizations, government agencies, and research institutions increasingly employ machine learning algorithms, deep neural networks, and computer vision systems to address complex ecological challenges.

3.1 Automated Camera-Trap Image Analysis:

Camera traps have become indispensable tools for wildlife monitoring because they provide continuous, non-invasive observations of animal populations. However, large-scale camera-trap networks can generate millions of photographs annually, creating substantial analytical bottlenecks.

Deep learning algorithms have demonstrated remarkable success in automatically identifying species from camera-trap images. Norouzzadeh et al. (2018) developed a deep neural network capable of identifying wildlife species from over 3 million camera-trap images with accuracy levels comparable to human experts. Such systems dramatically reduce processing times while minimizing observer bias.

Automated image classification also facilitates behavioral analyses by identifying feeding, mating, social interactions, and movement patterns. These capabilities enhance ecological understanding and support evidence-based wildlife management decisions.

In Africa's Serengeti ecosystem, AI-powered image recognition systems have accelerated the processing of millions of wildlife photographs collected through citizen-science initiatives, providing valuable insights into predator-prey dynamics and ecosystem health (Swanson et al., 2015).

3.2 Acoustic Monitoring and Bioacoustics:

Many species produce distinctive vocalizations that can serve as indicators of biodiversity and ecosystem condition. Birds, amphibians, bats, cetaceans, and insects generate acoustic signatures that allow researchers to monitor populations without direct observation.

Traditional analysis of acoustic recordings requires extensive manual effort. AI-driven bioacoustic systems have overcome this limitation by automatically detecting and classifying species-specific vocalizations from large audio datasets (Christin et al., 2019).

Machine learning algorithms can distinguish subtle differences among species calls, enabling continuous biodiversity monitoring across vast landscapes. In tropical forests, automated acoustic monitoring has successfully detected endangered bird species and measured changes in community composition associated with habitat degradation.

Similarly, marine conservation programs increasingly employ AI-assisted acoustic monitoring to track whale migration patterns, assess population health, and identify anthropogenic noise impacts on marine ecosystems (Mellinger et al., 2011).

3.3 Remote Sensing and Habitat Mapping:

Remote sensing technologies generate extensive environmental datasets that can be used to monitor habitat conditions and landscape changes. High-resolution satellite imagery, unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs), and LiDAR systems provide valuable information on vegetation cover, land-use patterns, and ecosystem dynamics.

Artificial Intelligence has substantially enhanced the interpretation of these datasets. Machine learning algorithms can detect deforestation, habitat fragmentation, illegal land conversion, and environmental degradation with exceptional accuracy (Wegmann et al., 2016).

AI-powered habitat suitability models also integrate environmental variables such as temperature, precipitation, elevation, and vegetation structure to predict species distributions. These models support conservation planning by identifying priority habitats and forecasting future range shifts under climate change scenarios.

For example, AI-assisted satellite analyses have been used to monitor tiger habitats in India, identify critical wildlife corridors, and evaluate the effectiveness of conservation interventions.

3.4 Predictive Conservation and Anti-Poaching Strategies:

Poaching remains one of the most significant threats to many endangered species, including elephants, rhinoceroses, and tigers. Conventional anti-poaching efforts often struggle with limited resources and vast protected areas.

Artificial Intelligence offers a proactive approach by identifying regions with elevated poaching risk. Predictive models incorporate historical poaching records, environmental variables, accessibility measures, and socioeconomic data to forecast illegal activities before they occur (Fang et al., 2016).

The Protection Assistant for Wildlife Security (PAWS) represents a notable example of AI-assisted conservation. This system has been deployed in multiple protected areas to optimize ranger patrol routes and improve law-enforcement efficiency. Studies indicate that AI-guided patrols can significantly increase

Citation: Navjeet Kaur. (2026). Artificial Intelligence and Environmental DNA (eDNA): Transforming Wildlife Conservation and Biodiversity Monitoring in the Twenty-First Century. In Bio Vet Innovator Magazine (Vol. 3, Issue 6, pp. 20–33). Bio Vet Innovator Magazine. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20710247

detection of illegal activities while reducing operational costs.

3.5 Drone-Based Wildlife Monitoring:

The integration of AI with drone technology has created powerful tools for wildlife surveillance. Equipped with high-resolution cameras and thermal imaging sensors, drones can monitor wildlife populations across inaccessible terrain.

AI algorithms automatically analyze aerial imagery to identify animals, estimate population densities, and detect habitat disturbances (Kellenberger et al., 2021). Thermal imaging combined with deep learning has proven particularly effective for monitoring large mammals in dense vegetation and low-light conditions.

Drone-based systems have been successfully employed for elephant censuses, marine mammal surveys, and anti-poaching operations. Their ability to rapidly cover extensive areas makes them valuable components of modern conservation programs.

4. Applications of Environmental DNA (eDNA) in Biodiversity Monitoring:

Environmental DNA has fundamentally transformed ecological monitoring by providing a highly sensitive and non-invasive method for species detection. The technology allows researchers to identify organisms through genetic traces present in environmental samples, eliminating the need for direct observation or capture.

4.1 Detection of Rare and Endangered Species:

Rare and endangered species are often difficult to detect using traditional monitoring methods because of low population densities and elusive behavior. Environmental DNA offers a powerful alternative by detecting minute quantities of genetic material left behind by organisms (Bohmann et al., 2014).

Numerous studies have demonstrated the effectiveness of eDNA for detecting threatened amphibians, freshwater fish, mammals, and reptiles. In many cases, eDNA has identified species presence in locations where conventional surveys failed to detect them.

This enhanced sensitivity improves conservation planning by providing more accurate information regarding species distribution and population status.

4.2 Aquatic Biodiversity Assessment:

Aquatic ecosystems have been among the primary beneficiaries of eDNA technology. Water samples contain genetic material from diverse organisms, enabling comprehensive biodiversity assessments through relatively simple sampling procedures.

Environmental DNA has proven particularly effective for monitoring fish communities, amphibians, and aquatic invertebrates (Deiner et al., 2017). Compared with traditional netting, electrofishing, and visual surveys, eDNA often provides higher detection probabilities while minimizing environmental disturbance.

Furthermore, eDNA enables monitoring of inaccessible aquatic habitats and can detect species during early life stages that might otherwise remain unnoticed.

4.3 Detection of Invasive Species:

Biological invasions represent a major driver of biodiversity loss worldwide. Early detection is essential for preventing invasive species establishment and minimizing ecological impacts.

Environmental DNA surveillance has become an important tool for invasive species management. Genetic traces can reveal the presence of invasive organisms long before populations become visually detectable (Rees et al., 2014).

Successful applications include monitoring invasive carp in North American waterways, invasive amphibians in Europe, and non-native marine organisms in coastal ecosystems. Early detection through eDNA supports rapid response strategies and improves management outcomes.

4.4 Marine Biodiversity Monitoring:

Marine ecosystems present unique challenges for biodiversity assessment due to their vast spatial extent and limited accessibility. Environmental DNA has emerged as a highly effective solution for monitoring marine organisms across large geographic areas.

Studies have successfully used eDNA to detect sharks, rays, whales, dolphins, sea turtles, and commercially important fish species (Beng & Corlett, 2020). Because water naturally disperses genetic material, marine eDNA surveys can capture biodiversity information from broad areas with relatively low sampling effort.

Marine conservation programs increasingly employ eDNA to assess protected area effectiveness, monitor endangered species, and evaluate ecosystem health.

4.5 Ecosystem Health and Community-Level Monitoring:

Beyond individual species detection, eDNA metabarcoding provides comprehensive information on entire biological communities. By simultaneously identifying multiple taxa, researchers can evaluate biodiversity patterns, ecological interactions, and ecosystem functioning (Taberlet et al., 2018).

Community-level assessments enable the detection of ecological changes associated with climate change, habitat degradation, pollution, and invasive species. Consequently, eDNA is becoming a critical component of ecosystem-based management frameworks.

Case Study 1: eDNA Monitoring of Asian Carp in North America:

One of the most widely cited examples of eDNA application involves monitoring invasive Asian carp populations in North America. Researchers successfully detected carp DNA in waterways before fish were physically observed, demonstrating the exceptional sensitivity of eDNA surveillance methods (Jerde et al., 2011).

This early detection capability informed management decisions and supported efforts to prevent invasive species spread into vulnerable ecosystems such as the Great Lakes.

Case Study 2: Airborne eDNA for Terrestrial Wildlife Monitoring:

Recent studies have demonstrated the feasibility of collecting airborne environmental DNA from zoos, forests, and natural habitats. Clare et al. (2022) successfully identified multiple vertebrate species from air samples, opening entirely new possibilities for biodiversity monitoring.

Airborne eDNA may eventually complement traditional monitoring approaches by enabling rapid assessments of terrestrial wildlife communities without direct animal contact.

Case Study 3: AI-Based Wildlife Monitoring in the Serengeti:

The Snapshot Serengeti project generated millions of wildlife images from camera traps across Tanzania's Serengeti National Park. AI-assisted image classification systems processed these datasets rapidly and accurately, enabling large-scale ecological analyses of species abundance, migration patterns, and predator-prey interactions (Swanson et al., 2015).

This project demonstrates how AI can convert massive ecological datasets into actionable conservation knowledge.

5. Integration of Artificial Intelligence and Environmental DNA: The Next Frontier in Conservation Science:

While Artificial Intelligence and Environmental DNA have independently transformed biodiversity monitoring, their integration represents one of the most promising developments in modern conservation biology. Environmental DNA analyses generate enormous volumes of genetic sequence data that require advanced computational approaches for interpretation. Artificial Intelligence, particularly machine learning algorithms, provides powerful tools for processing, classifying, and analyzing these complex datasets (Cordier et al., 2019).

Traditional bioinformatics pipelines often require extensive computational resources and specialized expertise. AI-driven analytical frameworks can automate taxonomic assignments, identify hidden ecological patterns, and improve species classification accuracy. Furthermore, machine learning algorithms can recognize subtle relationships among environmental variables, species distributions, and ecosystem processes that may remain undetected through conventional statistical approaches.

The combination of AI and eDNA enables conservation scientists to move beyond simple species detection toward predictive biodiversity monitoring. By integrating environmental parameters, genomic information, and ecological datasets, AI systems can forecast species responses to climate change, habitat degradation, invasive species incursions, and disease outbreaks.

Recent studies have demonstrated that machine learning models can accurately classify metabarcoding sequences and improve biodiversity assessments by reducing taxonomic misidentification rates (Cordier et al., 2019). These advances facilitate more reliable ecological monitoring and strengthen evidence-based conservation decision-making.

Moreover, the integration of AI and eDNA supports the development of near real-time biodiversity surveillance networks capable of detecting ecological disturbances before they become irreversible. Such systems may become indispensable for achieving international conservation goals and addressing the accelerating biodiversity crisis.

Table 1. Comparison of Traditional Monitoring, Artificial Intelligence, and Environmental DNA Approaches

Parameter	Traditional Monitoring	Artificial Intelligence-Based Monitoring	Environmental DNA Monitoring
Species Detection	Moderate	High	Very High
Rare Species Detection	Limited	Moderate	Excellent
Labor Requirement	High	Low	Low
Cost Efficiency	Moderate	High (long-term)	High
Spatial Coverage	Limited	Extensive	Extensive
Real-Time Monitoring	Limited	Excellent	Moderate
Observer Bias	High	Low	Very Low
Ecosystem Disturbance	Moderate	Low	Minimal
Data Processing Speed	Slow	Rapid	Rapid
Scalability	Limited	Excellent	Excellent

The table highlights the complementary strengths of AI and eDNA technologies. While AI excels in automated data processing and large-scale surveillance, eDNA offers unparalleled sensitivity for species detection. Their combined application provides a comprehensive framework for biodiversity monitoring.

6. Challenges and Limitations:

Despite their remarkable potential, AI and eDNA technologies face several scientific, technical, and operational challenges that must be addressed to ensure their effective implementation.

6.1 Challenges Associated with Artificial Intelligence:

- ***Data Availability and Quality:*** Artificial Intelligence systems rely heavily on large, high-quality datasets for training and validation. In many regions, biodiversity data remain sparse, incomplete, or geographically biased, limiting model performance and generalizability (Tuia et al., 2022).
- ***Algorithmic Bias:*** Machine learning models may inherit biases present within training datasets. Species that are overrepresented in datasets may be identified more accurately than poorly represented taxa, potentially influencing conservation priorities.
- ***Computational Requirements:*** Advanced AI applications often require substantial computational infrastructure, including high-performance processors, cloud computing platforms, and specialized software. These requirements may restrict adoption in developing regions where biodiversity conservation needs are often greatest.
- ***Interpretability:*** Many deep learning models function as "black boxes," making it difficult to

understand how predictions are generated. Improving model transparency remains an important research priority.

6.2 Challenges Associated with Environmental DNA:

- ***DNA Degradation:*** Environmental factors such as ultraviolet radiation, temperature fluctuations, microbial activity, and water chemistry influence DNA persistence and detection success (Deiner et al., 2017).
- ***Incomplete Reference Databases:*** Accurate taxonomic identification depends on comprehensive genetic reference libraries. Many species, particularly in biodiverse tropical regions, remain underrepresented in available databases (Cristescu & Hebert, 2018).
- ***Contamination Risks:*** Environmental DNA studies are highly sensitive and susceptible to contamination during sample collection, laboratory processing, and sequencing. Rigorous quality-control procedures are therefore essential.
- ***Quantification Limitations:*** Although eDNA effectively indicates species presence, accurately estimating population abundance remains challenging because DNA concentrations may not directly correlate with organism numbers.

7. Ethical and Conservation Considerations:

The growing use of AI and eDNA raises several ethical considerations that must be addressed responsibly.

- **Privacy and Data Security:**

  Remote sensing technologies, drones, and surveillance systems may inadvertently collect information related to human activities. Conservation programs must ensure compliance with privacy regulations and ethical standards.
- **Indigenous and Local Community Rights:**

  Many biodiversity-rich regions overlap with Indigenous territories and local community lands. Conservation initiatives should incorporate traditional ecological knowledge and ensure equitable participation in decision-making processes.
- **Responsible Use of Genetic Data:**

  Environmental DNA studies generate extensive genomic information that may have implications for species management, genetic resource access, and biodiversity governance. Transparent policies regarding data ownership and sharing are therefore essential.
- **Equity in Conservation Technology:**

  The benefits of emerging technologies should be accessible globally. International collaboration and capacity-building initiatives are needed to ensure that low-resource countries can participate fully in AI- and eDNA-driven conservation programs.

8. Future Prospects:

The future of wildlife conservation will likely be shaped by increasingly sophisticated interactions among artificial intelligence, genomics, remote sensing, and ecological monitoring systems.

- **Real-Time Biodiversity Monitoring:**

  Advances in portable sequencing technologies, including nanopore sequencing platforms, are making field-based genomic analyses increasingly feasible. Coupled with AI-driven analytics, these systems could enable real-time biodiversity assessments in remote environments.

- **Environmental Genomics:**

  Future monitoring programs are expected to move beyond species detection toward ecosystem-level genomic analyses. Environmental genomics will provide insights into community structure, functional diversity, and ecosystem resilience (Pawlowski et al., 2021).

- **Digital Twin Ecosystems:**

  Digital twins are virtual representations of real-world ecosystems that continuously integrate environmental data. AI and eDNA could provide critical inputs for these systems, enabling dynamic simulations of ecological processes and conservation scenarios.

- **Climate Change Adaptation:**

  Predictive AI models combined with biodiversity data derived from eDNA can support climate adaptation strategies by forecasting species range shifts, identifying climate refugia, and prioritizing conservation interventions.

- **Global Biodiversity Surveillance Networks:**

  The integration of AI, eDNA, satellite observations, and sensor technologies may ultimately lead to the development of global biodiversity observatories capable of monitoring ecosystem health at planetary scales. Such systems could provide policymakers with near real-time information to support environmental governance and sustainable development.

9. Conclusion:

The twenty-first century has witnessed unprecedented technological advances that are reshaping wildlife conservation and biodiversity monitoring. Artificial Intelligence and Environmental DNA represent two of the most influential innovations in contemporary conservation science, offering powerful solutions to longstanding challenges associated with species detection, ecological monitoring, and environmental management.

Artificial Intelligence enables rapid processing of massive ecological datasets derived from camera traps, drones, acoustic sensors, and remote sensing platforms. Environmental DNA complements these capabilities by providing highly sensitive, non-invasive species detection across terrestrial, freshwater, and marine ecosystems. Together, these technologies enhance monitoring efficiency, improve conservation outcomes, and support evidence-based decision-making.

The integration of AI and eDNA represents a paradigm shift from reactive conservation toward proactive and predictive ecosystem management. Although challenges remain regarding data quality, computational infrastructure, ethical considerations, and reference database completeness, ongoing technological advancements continue to address these limitations.

As biodiversity loss accelerates globally, the adoption of AI-assisted eDNA monitoring systems will become increasingly important for safeguarding ecosystems and preserving Earth's biological heritage. Continued interdisciplinary collaboration among ecologists, geneticists, computer scientists, conservation practitioners, and policymakers will be essential to fully realize the transformative potential of these technologies.

References:

Beng, K. C., & Corlett, R. T. (2020). Applications of environmental DNA in ecology and conservation. *Biological Reviews*, 95, 631–648.

Bohmann, K., Evans, A., Gilbert, M. T. P., Carvalho, G. R., Creer, S., Knapp, M., Yu, D. W., & de Bruyn, M. (2014). Environmental DNA for wildlife biology and biodiversity monitoring. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 29(6), 358–367.

Clare, E. L., Economou, C. K., Faulkes, Z., Gilbert, J. D., Bennett, F., Drinkwater, R., & Littlefair, J. E. (2022). Measuring biodiversity from DNA in the air. *Current Biology*, 32(3), 693–700.

Christin, S., Hervet, É., & Lecomte, N. (2019). Applications for deep learning in ecology. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, 10, 1632–1644.

Cordier, T., Lanzén, A., Apothéloz-Perret-Gentil, L., et al. (2019). Embracing environmental genomics and machine learning for routine biomonitoring. *Trends in Microbiology*, 27(5), 387–397.

Cristescu, M. E., & Hebert, P. D. N. (2018). Uses and misuses of environmental DNA in biodiversity science and conservation. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics*, 49, 209–230.

Deiner, K., Bik, H. M., Mächler, E., et al. (2017). Environmental DNA metabarcoding: Transforming biodiversity surveys. *Molecular Ecology*, 26(21), 5872–5895.

Fang, F., Stone, P., Tambe, M., et al. (2016). Artificial intelligence and conservation. *AI Magazine*, 37(2), 13–27.

IPBES. (2019). *Global Assessment Report on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services*. United Nations.

Jerde, C. L., Mahon, A. R., Chadderton, W. L., & Lodge, D. M. (2011). "Sight-unseen" detection of rare aquatic species using environmental DNA. *Conservation Letters*, 4(2), 150–157.

Kays, R., Crofoot, M. C., Jetz, W., & Wikelski, M. (2015). Terrestrial animal tracking as an eye on life and planet. *Science*, 348, aaa2478.

ISSN: 3048-8397
Kellenberger, B., Marcos, D., & Tuia, D. (2021). Detecting mammals in UAV images using deep learning. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 256, 112313.

LeCun, Y., Bengio, Y., & Hinton, G. (2015). Deep learning. *Nature*, 521, 436–444.

Mellinger, D. K., Stafford, K. M., Moore, S. E., et al. (2011). An overview of fixed passive acoustic observation methods for cetaceans. *Oceanography*, 20, 36–45.

Norouzzadeh, M. S., Nguyen, A., Kosmala, M., et al. (2018). Automatically identifying, counting, and describing wild animals in camera-trap images with deep learning. *PNAS*, 115(25), E5716–E5725.

Pawlowski, J., Apothéloz-Perret-Gentil, L., & Altermatt, F. (2021). Environmental genomics and ecosystem monitoring. *Trends in Biotechnology*, 39, 1–14.

Rees, H. C., Maddison, B. C., Middleditch, D. J., et al. (2014). The detection of aquatic animal species using environmental DNA. *Biological Reviews*, 89, 698–711.

Swanson, A., Kosmala, M., Lintott, C., et al. (2015). Snapshot Serengeti, high-frequency annotated camera trap images of 40 mammalian species. *Scientific Data*, 2, 150026.

Taberlet, P., Bonin, A., Zinger, L., & Coissac, E. (2018). *Environmental DNA: For Biodiversity Research and Monitoring*. Oxford University Press.

Tuia, D., Kellenberger, B., Beery, S., et al. (2022). Perspectives in machine learning for wildlife conservation. *Nature Communications*, 13, 792.

Wegmann, M., Leutner, B., & Dech, S. (2016). *Remote Sensing and GIS for Ecologists*. Pelagic Publishing.

WWF. (2022). *Living Planet Report 2022: Building a Nature-Positive Society*. World Wildlife Fund.