Bio Vet Innovator Magazine
(Fueling The Future of Science…)
Volume 3 (Issue 10) OCTOBER 2026
OPEN ACCESS World Animal Day – 04th October 2026 Popular Article

Animal Husbandry as Public Policy: Governance, Rural Livelihoods and the Future of Livestock Development in India

Dr. Punam Khurana[1*] and Jyoti Nagpal[2]
1-2Assistant Professor,
Maharishi Dayanand B.Ed. College,
Sri Ganganagar, Rajasthan - 335001, India
***Corresponding Author:** harsh.juneja8888@gmail.com
DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.23585609

Received: October 08, 2026
Published: October 15, 2026
© All rights are reserved by **Punam Khurana**

Abstract:

Animal husbandry is often discussed primarily as a veterinary, agricultural or economic activity, yet its development is deeply connected with political science and public policy. Livestock are part of rural livelihoods, food systems, employment, household assets, social institutions and environmental management. Decisions concerning veterinary infrastructure, breeding, vaccination, disease surveillance, animal welfare, livestock markets, insurance, fodder, climate adaptation and digital identification are therefore also decisions about governance, resource allocation and public accountability. This article examines animal husbandry through a political-science and public-policy lens, with particular attention to India. It explains how governments translate livestock priorities into policies, institutions, programmes and field-level services; how implementation influences rural communities; and why coordination between veterinary departments, local governments, public-health institutions, agriculture agencies and communities is essential. The article also discusses One Health, animal welfare, antimicrobial resistance, climate change and digital governance as emerging policy domains. It argues that effective livestock development requires not only technical veterinary interventions but also responsive institutions, evidence-based policy, decentralised implementation, transparent data systems and meaningful participation of livestock keepers. A stronger governance framework can improve animal health and welfare while supporting rural resilience, food security and sustainable livelihoods.

Keywords: Animal husbandry; Public policy; Political science; Governance; Livestock development; Rural livelihoods; One Health; Animal welfare; Veterinary services; India

1. Introduction:

Animal husbandry occupies a distinctive position in public policy because animals are simultaneously biological beings, productive assets, sources of food and income, and subjects of welfare and regulatory concern. In rural India, cattle, buffaloes, sheep, goats, pigs, poultry and other livestock

contribute to household income, nutrition, employment and resilience. For many households, livestock can function as a form of savings and a means of coping with agricultural uncertainty. Consequently, a disease outbreak, shortage of fodder, fall in livestock prices or loss of an animal can have consequences far beyond veterinary medicine. Political science helps explain these wider dimensions. Public policy concerns the choices governments make, the institutions through which those choices are implemented, the distribution of public resources, and the relationship between citizens and the state. Animal husbandry policy therefore includes more than schemes or departmental orders. It includes decisions about who receives veterinary services, where hospitals and laboratories are located, how disease-control programmes are organised, how animal welfare rules are enforced, how livestock markets are regulated, and how public funds are prioritised. The policy environment has become more complex. Livestock development now intersects with public health, food safety, environmental sustainability, climate adaptation, biodiversity, rural employment and digital governance. Zoonotic diseases demonstrate that animal health can rapidly become a human-health and economic issue. Antimicrobial resistance shows that veterinary prescribing and farm-level practices can have consequences beyond individual animals. Page 2 Climate change increases pressure from heat stress, water scarcity, changing disease patterns and fodder insecurity. A political-science perspective is valuable because it shifts attention from isolated technical interventions toward institutions, implementation, accountability and participation. The central question is not simply whether a veterinary technology exists, but whether the policy system can make that technology accessible, affordable, timely and appropriately used by the people and animals who need it.

2. Animal Husbandry as a Public Policy Domain:

Public policy can be understood as a set of governmental decisions and actions directed toward identified social problems and collective goals. In animal husbandry, such goals may include increasing livestock productivity, reducing disease, protecting animal welfare, improving farmer income, strengthening food security and supporting sustainable production. The policy process normally involves several stages: problem identification, agenda setting, policy formulation, adoption, implementation, monitoring and evaluation. Consider livestock disease control. A disease becomes a policy concern when its effects are recognised as sufficiently important to require collective action. Authorities then establish priorities, allocate budgets, select interventions and define institutional responsibilities. Vaccination, surveillance, laboratory diagnosis, movement control, awareness campaigns and reporting systems become components of an organised policy response. The same logic applies to breeding and productivity. A breeding policy is not merely a technical decision about genetics. It determines which traits are prioritised, which breeds receive institutional support, how artificial insemination services are delivered, how genetic resources are conserved and how farmers participate in breeding decisions. Similarly, livestock insurance is simultaneously an economic, administrative and social-protection intervention. The

political dimension becomes particularly visible when resources are limited. Governments cannot provide identical infrastructure everywhere at the same time. Decisions about veterinary hospitals, mobile clinics, laboratories, vaccination coverage, staff deployment and training involve prioritisation. Good governance requires these choices to be based on transparent criteria such as disease burden, livestock population, geographical accessibility, vulnerability and public-health risk. A policy perspective also recognises that implementation can differ from policy design. A programme may be well designed at the national level but encounter problems because of staff shortages, inadequate transport, weak data systems, limited community awareness, procurement delays or poor coordination between agencies. Studying the implementation gap is therefore essential for understanding actual policy outcomes.

3. Governance and the Institutional Architecture of Livestock Development:

Governance refers broadly to the processes through which public institutions, communities and other actors coordinate decisions and actions. Animal husbandry governance is multi-level. National institutions may frame broad programmes and standards, state governments and departments may design and implement programmes, district authorities may coordinate field activities, and local institutions and veterinary personnel may deliver services directly to livestock owners. This structure creates both opportunities and challenges. Decentralisation can improve responsiveness because local officials understand local livestock systems, disease patterns and community needs. However, decentralisation without adequate financial, technical and administrative capacity may simply transfer responsibility without providing sufficient resources. Page 3 Veterinary officers and frontline staff occupy a particularly important position in this governance system. They translate policy into practical action through diagnosis, treatment, vaccination, artificial insemination, disease reporting, extension, farmer education and emergency response. Their field observations can also provide valuable feedback to policymakers. A two-way flow of information is therefore necessary: policies should guide field action, while field evidence should influence policy revision. Institutional coordination is equally important. Livestock disease control may require cooperation among animal husbandry departments, health departments, municipal authorities, laboratories, universities, local governments and disaster-management institutions. Food safety may involve veterinary authorities, food regulators, laboratories and market institutions. Climate adaptation may require coordination with agriculture, water, environment and rural-development departments. Effective governance should therefore define roles clearly while maintaining mechanisms for coordination. Standard operating procedures, referral systems, joint surveillance, interoperable databases and regular interdepartmental meetings can reduce duplication and delays. The objective should not be to create more bureaucracy but to make existing institutions work together more effectively.

4. Veterinary Services and Rural Livelihoods:

Veterinary services are a form of public service because animal health affects household welfare,

food production and public health. The economic value of veterinary care is not limited to the immediate cost of treatment. Prevention of disease can protect milk production, reproduction, animal survival, labour capacity and household assets. Accessibility is a central policy issue. Distance from veterinary facilities, transport costs, service availability, medicine supply, affordability and awareness can determine whether a livestock owner seeks professional care. Small and marginal livestock keepers may be particularly vulnerable when a single animal represents a significant proportion of household assets. Public policy can respond through fixed veterinary institutions, mobile veterinary services, vaccination campaigns, diagnostic laboratories, telemedicine and community-based extension. The appropriate mix depends on geography and livestock systems. Remote areas may require mobile or outreach services, whereas high-density livestock regions may need stronger diagnostic and referral infrastructure. The quality of service also matters. A veterinary hospital is not effective merely because a building exists. It requires trained personnel, medicines, diagnostic support, equipment, electricity, water, transport and functional record systems. Performance indicators should therefore consider service utilisation, response time, disease outcomes, vaccination coverage and farmer satisfaction, rather than only counting activities. A public-policy approach also encourages attention to equity. Average service coverage can hide gaps among remote villages, nomadic communities, women livestock keepers and households with limited resources. Mapping service availability against livestock populations and vulnerability can help identify underserved areas. Veterinary services thus represent an important connection between state capacity and rural livelihoods. When services are timely, accessible and trusted, livestock owners are more likely to participate in vaccination, disease reporting, breeding programmes and other public initiatives.

5. Animal Welfare, Law and the Role of the State:

Animal welfare introduces an important normative dimension into animal husbandry policy. Livestock policy must balance production objectives with responsibilities toward animal health, humane treatment and responsible management. This requires institutions that can formulate standards, educate stakeholders, monitor compliance and respond to welfare concerns. The role of government is particularly important because individual incentives may not always protect welfare. Welfare standards can address transport, housing, handling, slaughter, working animals and treatment of injured or abandoned animals. Enforcement, however, is often more complex than legislation itself. Effective implementation requires awareness among owners and handlers, trained officials, accessible veterinary services and clear administrative procedures. Animal welfare policy should also recognise local realities. Standards that ignore farm economics, infrastructure or regional production systems may be difficult to implement. Consultation with veterinarians, farmers, animal-welfare organisations, researchers and local authorities can improve policy practicality. The state can use multiple instruments: legislation, licensing, inspection, training, incentives, public awareness and institutional partnerships. A cooperative approach is often

valuable where compliance depends heavily on behavioural change. Veterinary professionals have an important role because welfare and health are closely connected. Pain management, disease prevention, appropriate nutrition, humane handling and responsible treatment are practical areas where veterinary expertise can support public policy. Welfare indicators can also become part of broader livestock-development programmes rather than remaining separate from productivity and health planning.

6. One Health and Zoonotic Disease Governance:

One Health provides a strong bridge between veterinary science and political science because it requires coordinated action across institutional boundaries. Zoonotic diseases can involve animal populations, human communities, wildlife, ecosystems and economic systems. No single department can manage all dimensions independently. From a governance perspective, One Health requires mechanisms for information sharing, joint risk assessment, coordinated surveillance and rapid response. Veterinary laboratories may detect an animal disease before human cases appear. Public-health institutions may identify patterns that require investigation in animal populations. Environmental agencies may contribute information about wildlife reservoirs or ecological changes. The political challenge is institutional coordination. Departments often have separate budgets, reporting systems and priorities. Without clear protocols, important information may remain within institutional silos. A One Health approach therefore requires defined responsibilities and practical channels for collaboration. Rabies illustrates this need. Prevention depends on animal vaccination, responsible dog-population management, public awareness, human post-exposure prophylaxis and timely reporting. Similarly, avian influenza or other zoonotic threats require cooperation among animal-health authorities, public-health agencies, laboratories and local administrations. The governance value of One Health extends beyond emergencies. Routine surveillance, joint training, data integration and simulation exercises can strengthen preparedness before an outbreak occurs. Policies should also support communication with communities because early reporting and appropriate preventive behaviour depend on public trust. One Health therefore should be treated not merely as a slogan but as an institutional model. Its success depends on coordination, shared accountability, sustainable financing and evidence-based Page 5 decision-making.

7. Antimicrobial Resistance as a Governance Challenge:

Antimicrobial resistance demonstrates how a veterinary practice can become a national and global policy concern. Antimicrobials are essential for treating bacterial infections, but inappropriate use can contribute to resistance. Resistant organisms and resistance genes may move between animals, humans and the environment. The policy response cannot rely solely on individual veterinarians. Responsible antimicrobial use requires professional standards, diagnostic capacity, surveillance, farmer education, medicine regulation and infection prevention. Veterinary services can reduce unnecessary antimicrobial use by improving vaccination, biosecurity, husbandry and early diagnosis. Governance is important

because incentives influence behaviour. If diagnostic testing is unavailable or costly, empirical treatment may become common. If preventive practices are weak, repeated antimicrobial use may appear to be the easiest short-term solution. Public policy can change these conditions by strengthening laboratories, extension services, stewardship programmes and surveillance. A coordinated One Health surveillance system can identify resistance patterns across sectors. Data should be used not only for reporting but also for policy adjustment, training and targeted interventions. Political science adds another useful perspective: implementation depends on compliance. Rules are effective only when stakeholders understand them, have the capacity to follow them and perceive the system as legitimate. Participatory education and professional engagement are therefore important complements to regulation.

8. Climate Change and Livestock Policy:

Climate change is increasingly relevant to animal husbandry governance. Heat stress can reduce feed intake, milk production, fertility and animal comfort. Water scarcity and changing rainfall patterns can affect fodder availability. Climate-related changes may also influence vectors and the distribution of infectious diseases. Policy responses can include heat-resilient housing, improved water availability, fodder banks, climate-smart breeding, disease surveillance, shade and shelter, insurance and early-warning systems. However, adaptation measures must be appropriate to local production systems and economic capacity. Government policy can support adaptation through extension, infrastructure, research and financial incentives. Local institutions can help identify vulnerable areas and prioritise interventions. Data from veterinary services, weather systems and livestock records can be combined to develop risk maps. Climate policy also raises questions about mitigation and environmental sustainability. Livestock systems differ substantially in their environmental footprints, and policy should avoid simplistic assumptions. Better feeding, improved animal health, appropriate breeding, manure management and efficient resource use can potentially improve productivity while reducing resource intensity. The governance lesson is that climate adaptation must be proactive. Waiting for production losses or disease outbreaks can be more expensive than investing in preparedness. Policies should therefore incorporate climate risk into livestock planning, veterinary infrastructure and emergency management.

9. Digital Governance in Animal Husbandry:

Digital technologies are changing the relationship between livestock owners, veterinary professionals and government institutions. Digital animal identification, electronic health records, vaccination databases, artificial-insemination records, disease surveillance and mobile applications can improve traceability and programme monitoring. Digital governance can strengthen accountability because activities become easier to record and analyse. Authorities can monitor vaccination coverage, identify geographic gaps and track disease reports. Veterinary professionals can maintain more consistent records, while farmers may benefit from reminders, advisory services and easier access to programme

information. However, digitalisation also creates policy challenges. Data quality is crucial. Incorrect animal identification, duplicate records, incomplete entries or delayed reporting can undermine analysis. Training and technical support are therefore essential. Systems should also be designed around field realities, including connectivity limitations and workload. Data governance is another important issue. Livestock information can have economic and administrative value. Policies should define appropriate access, data security, interoperability and responsible use. Digital systems should support—not replace—professional judgement. The long-term goal should be an evidence-based livestock governance system in which field data can inform resource allocation, disease preparedness and programme evaluation. Digital tools become valuable when they improve decisions, rather than simply increasing the volume of data collected.

10. Participation, Accountability and Policy Implementation:

Public policy is more effective when affected communities are treated as participants rather than passive recipients. Livestock owners possess practical knowledge about local breeds, disease patterns, seasonal challenges, feed resources and animal behaviour. Incorporating this knowledge can improve programme design. Participation can occur through farmer meetings, veterinary camps, village institutions, producer organisations, cooperatives and digital feedback mechanisms. Women livestock keepers should also be recognised because household-level livestock management often involves significant contributions from women. Accountability requires measurable objectives and transparent reporting. Instead of reporting only the number of camps conducted or animals treated, programmes can include outcome indicators such as disease incidence, vaccination coverage, treatment success, reproductive performance and service accessibility. Social accountability mechanisms can strengthen trust. Clear information about eligibility, programme benefits, service schedules and grievance channels helps citizens understand government programmes. When people understand how decisions are made, they are more likely to participate. Implementation should also be evaluated continuously. Pilot projects can be assessed before scaling. Independent evaluation and academic collaboration can help identify unintended effects. Evidence-based policy is particularly important in animal husbandry because local ecological, economic and cultural conditions vary substantially.

11. Future Directions for Livestock Governance in India:

The future of animal husbandry policy is likely to be shaped by five connected priorities: health security, livelihood resilience, welfare, environmental sustainability and digital transformation. Page 7 First, veterinary public services should be strengthened according to disease burden and livestock population rather than relying solely on historical infrastructure. Diagnostic capacity and emergency preparedness deserve particular attention. Second, livestock policy should focus more explicitly on resilience. Farmers need tools that reduce vulnerability to disease, climate shocks, market fluctuations and animal losses. Insurance, diversified livestock systems, fodder security and timely veterinary care can

contribute to this objective. Third, animal welfare should be integrated into mainstream livestock development. Health, productivity and welfare should not be treated as competing concepts when good management can advance all three. Fourth, One Health governance should become more operational. Joint surveillance, shared training, laboratory networks and coordinated outbreak-response protocols can transform interdepartmental cooperation into routine practice. Fifth, digital governance should move from data collection toward decision support. Dashboards and databases should answer practical questions: Where are disease risks increasing? Which areas have low vaccination coverage? Where are veterinary services inaccessible? Which interventions are producing measurable outcomes? Finally, policy should encourage stronger links among government departments, veterinary universities, research institutions, professional organisations, farmers and civil society. Such partnerships can improve innovation while ensuring that scientific evidence reaches field practice.

12. Conclusion:

Animal husbandry is not only a technical or agricultural sector; it is an important field of public governance. Decisions about livestock health, breeding, welfare, disease control, veterinary services, insurance, climate adaptation and digital records affect millions of animals and the households that depend on them. A political-science perspective helps reveal the institutional processes behind these decisions. It highlights resource allocation, administrative capacity, implementation gaps, accountability, participation and coordination. These dimensions determine whether well-designed programmes achieve meaningful outcomes on the ground. India's livestock sector presents both major opportunities and complex challenges. Strengthening veterinary services, integrating One Health approaches, improving animal-welfare governance, addressing antimicrobial resistance, preparing for climate risks and using digital systems responsibly can contribute to healthier animals and more resilient rural communities. The future of animal husbandry policy should therefore be built around a simple principle: technical knowledge must be matched by effective governance. Veterinary science can identify what should be done, while public policy and political institutions determine how actions are organised, financed, implemented and evaluated. When these dimensions work together, animal husbandry can contribute not only to livestock productivity but also to public health, rural development, food security, environmental sustainability and social well-being.

References:

Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. (2023). The State of Food and Agriculture 2023: Revealing the true cost of food to transform agrifood systems. FAO, Rome.

Government of India, Department of Animal Husbandry & Dairying. (2023). Annual Report 2022–23. Ministry of Fisheries, Animal Husbandry & Dairying, New Delhi.

Government of India, Department of Animal Husbandry & Dairying. (2023). Livestock Census /

ISSN: 3048-8397

Basic Animal Husbandry Statistics and related livestock-sector statistical publications. Ministry of Fisheries, Animal Husbandry & Dairying, New Delhi.

World Health Organization, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, United Nations Environment Programme & World Organisation for Animal Health. (2022). One Health Joint Plan of Action (2022–2026). WHO, Geneva.

World Organisation for Animal Health (WOAH). (2024). Terrestrial Animal Health Code. WOAH, Paris.